

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Cr.Misc. No.37007 of 2008
MINTOO KUMAR @ MINTOO KUMAR PATEL @ MINTOO PATEL,
son of Shrideo Patel, Resident of village Dayalpur,
P.S. Rajapakar, District Vaishali Petitioner
Versus
STATE OF BIHAR Opposite party

02/ 14.11.2008

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

Petitioner is an accused in a case under Section 395 of the Indian Penal Code, as the looted iron rods meant for Digha Railway Bridge was recovered from his custody. Besides the present case, he is also accused in one another case registered for the offence under Sections 414/34 of the Indian Penal Code in which he has been admitted to the privilege of bail and the counsel for the petitioner submitted that he should also be admitted in the present case. I regret to accept such submission, as the looted iron rods were recovered from the possession of the petitioner.

In the circumstances, I am not inclined to admit the petitioner to the privilege of bail. This application is rejected. He is directed to undergo trial.

Arjun/

(V.N. Sinha, J.)